

Project A – Positivity preserving and improving C_0 -semigroups

Souhadou Diallo, Leon Berghoff-Flüel, and Ines Marzouk

19th July 2023

Definition

A strongly continuous semigroup $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ on a L^p space is called **positivity preserving** if each operator e^{-tA} is positivity preserving, i.e., if $0 \leq f \in L^p$ implies $0 \leq e^{-tA}f$ for each $t \geq 0$, or equivalently, if

$$|e^{-tA}f| \leq e^{-tA}|f| \quad \text{holds for each } f \in L^p \text{ and all } t \geq 0.$$

Example

The matrix exponential $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is positivity preserving for all $t \geq 0$ if and only if $A + \text{diag}(-A) \leq 0$, i.e., $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Example

The left translation semigroup given by

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R});$$

$$e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

is positivity preserving.

Example

Consider the Gaussian semigroup that solves the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t = u_{xx} & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(t, +\infty) = u(t, -\infty) = 0 & t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. The explicit formula is given by

$$(e^{-tA}f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) e^{\frac{-(z-x)^2}{4\pi}} dz$$

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $t > 0$.

The semigroup is positivity preserving.

Definition (Ideal)

A subspace $I \subseteq L^p$ is called an **ideal** if for all $f \in I$ and $g \in L^p$:

$$|g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I.$$

Definition (Ideal)

A subspace $I \subseteq L^p$ is called an **ideal** if for all $f \in I$ and $g \in L^p$:

$$|g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I.$$

Examples

Definition (Ideal)

A subspace $I \subseteq L^p$ is called an **ideal** if for all $f \in I$ and $g \in L^p$:

$$|g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I.$$

Examples

1. $\{0\}$ and L^p are the **trivial ideals** of L^p .

Definition (Ideal)

A subspace $I \subseteq L^p$ is called an **ideal** if for all $f \in I$ and $g \in L^p$:

$$|g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I.$$

Examples

1. $\{0\}$ and L^p are the **trivial ideals** of L^p .
2. For each measurable $V \subseteq X$,

$$I_V = \left\{ f \in L^p : f|_{X \setminus V} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \right\}$$

is a closed ideal.

Definition (Ideal)

A subspace $I \subseteq L^p$ is called an **ideal** if for all $f \in I$ and $g \in L^p$:

$$|g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I.$$

Examples

1. $\{0\}$ and L^p are the **trivial ideals** of L^p .
2. For each measurable $V \subseteq X$,

$$I_V = \left\{ f \in L^p : f|_{X \setminus V} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \right\}$$

is a closed ideal.

Without proof: All closed ideals are of this kind.

I ideal $\Leftrightarrow I$ subspace and $(f \in I, |g| \leq |f| \Rightarrow g \in I)$.

I ideal $\Leftrightarrow I$ subspace and $(f \in I, |g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I)$.

Examples

3. The ideal **generated by** $f \in L^p$, denoted by L_f^p .

I ideal $\Leftrightarrow I$ subspace and $(f \in I, |g| \leq |f| \implies g \in I)$.

Examples

3. The ideal **generated by** $f \in L^p$, denoted by L_f^p .

If $f \geq 0$, then

$$L_f^p = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{g \in L^p : |g| \leq kf\}.$$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \text{ a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Rightarrow ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0 : f|_W = 0$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \implies ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0$: $f|_W = 0$. Then
 $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\}$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \implies ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0$: $f|_W = 0$. Then
 $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W}$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \text{ a.e.}$

Proof:

" \implies ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0 : f|_W = 0$. Then
 $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W} \supseteq \overline{L_f^p}$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \implies ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0 : f|_W = 0$. Then $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W} \supseteq \overline{L_f^p}$ as $I_{X \setminus W}$ is closed.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \text{ a.e.}$

Proof:

" \implies ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0$: $f|_W = 0$. Then $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W} \supseteq \overline{L_f^p}$ as $I_{X \setminus W}$ is closed.

It remains to show: $I_{X \setminus W} \neq L^p(X)$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Rightarrow ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0$: $f|_W = 0$. Then $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W} \supseteq \overline{L_f^p}$ as $I_{X \setminus W}$ is closed.

It remains to show: $I_{X \setminus W} \neq L^p(X)$. By σ -finiteness of (X, μ) choose $V \subseteq W$, $0 < \mu(V) < \infty$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Rightarrow ": Contrapos.: Let $W \subseteq X$, $\mu(W) > 0$: $f|_W = 0$. Then $f \in \{g \in L^p : g|_W = 0\} = I_{X \setminus W} \supseteq \overline{L_f^p}$ as $I_{X \setminus W}$ is closed.

It remains to show: $I_{X \setminus W} \neq L^p(X)$. By σ -finiteness of (X, μ) choose $V \subseteq W$, $0 < \mu(V) < \infty$. Then

$$1_V \in L^p(X) \setminus I_{X \setminus W}.$$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and set $\mathbb{N} \ni k_j > j \cdot \|g\|_\infty$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and set $\mathbb{N} \ni k_j > j \cdot \|g\|_\infty$. Then

$$|g \cdot 1_{A_j}| \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \frac{j}{j} \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot j \cdot f \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq k_j f,$$

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and set $\mathbb{N} \ni k_j > j \cdot \|g\|_\infty$. Then

$$|g \cdot 1_{A_j}| \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \frac{j}{j} \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot j \cdot f \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq k_j f,$$

hence $g \cdot 1_{A_j} \in L_f^p$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and set $\mathbb{N} \ni k_j > j \cdot \|g\|_\infty$. Then

$$|g \cdot 1_{A_j}| \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \frac{j}{j} \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot j \cdot f \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq k_j f,$$

hence $g \cdot 1_{A_j} \in L_f^p$. By $g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} g \cdot 1_{A_j}$, we obtain $g \in \overline{L_f^p}$.

Proposition

For $f \geq 0$: $\overline{L_f^p} = L^p \Leftrightarrow f > 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$

Proof:

" \Leftarrow ": Set

$$A_j = \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \in \left[\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j-1} \right) \right\} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

By $f > 0$, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} A_j = X$ (up to a nullset).

Let at first $g \in L^p \cap L^\infty$ and set $\mathbb{N} \ni k_j > j \cdot \|g\|_\infty$. Then

$$|g \cdot 1_{A_j}| \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot \frac{j}{j} \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq \|g\|_\infty \cdot j \cdot f \cdot 1_{A_j} \leq k_j f,$$

hence $g \cdot 1_{A_j} \in L_f^p$. By $g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} g \cdot 1_{A_j}$, we obtain $g \in \overline{L_f^p}$. As $L^p \cap L^\infty$ is dense in L^p , the claim follows. \square

2.2 Irreducibility

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p)$ is called **irreducible** if L^p has no non-trivial closed T -invariant ideal I .

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p)$ is called **irreducible** if L^p has no non- $\underbrace{\{0\}, L^p}_{\text{trivial}}$ closed T -invariant ideal I .

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p)$ is called **irreducible** if L^p has no non- $\overbrace{\{0\}, L^p}^{\text{trivial}}$ closed $\underbrace{T\text{-invariant}}_{TI \subseteq I}$ ideal I .

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^p)$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non- $\overbrace{\{0\}, \mathbb{L}^p}^{\text{trivial}}$ closed $\underbrace{T\text{-invariant}}_{TI \subseteq I}$ ideal I .

$(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non-trivial closed ideal that is e^{-tA} -invariant for every $t \geq 0$.

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^p)$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non-trivial closed T -invariant ideal I .

$\underbrace{\mathbb{L}^p}_{\{0\}, \mathbb{L}^p}$

$\underbrace{TI \subseteq I}_{TI \subseteq I}$

$(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non-trivial closed ideal that is e^{-tA} -invariant for every $t \geq 0$.

Caution: $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. $\not\Rightarrow e^{-tA}$ irred. for all/some $t \geq 0$.

2.2 Irreducibility

Definition

$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^p)$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non-trivial closed T -invariant ideal I .

$\underbrace{\mathbb{L}^p}_{\{0\}, \mathbb{L}^p}$

$\underbrace{TI \subseteq I}_{TI \subseteq I}$

$(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is called **irreducible** if \mathbb{L}^p has no non-trivial closed ideal that is e^{-tA} -invariant for every $t \geq 0$.

Caution: $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. $\not\Rightarrow e^{-tA}$ irred. for all/some $t \geq 0$.

Remark

If I is A -invariant, then the same is true for \bar{I} .

Examples

Examples

1. The left-translation semigroup

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}); \quad e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

Examples

1. The left-translation semigroup

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}); \quad e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

is **not** irreducible

Examples

1. The left-translation semigroup

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}); \quad e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

is **not** irreducible, as e.g. $I_{(-\infty,0]}$ is $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant.

Examples

1. The left-translation semigroup

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}); \quad e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

is **not** irreducible, as e.g. $I_{(-\infty, 0]}$ is $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant.

2. Consider instead the left-translation with periodic boundary conditions:

$$e^{-tB}: L^p([0, 1)) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1)); \quad e^{-tB}f(x) = f(x+t-n)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x+t-n \in [0, 1)$.

Examples

1. The left-translation semigroup

$$e^{-tA}: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}); \quad e^{-tA}f(x) = f(x+t)$$

is **not** irreducible, as e.g. $I_{(-\infty, 0]}$ is $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ -invariant.

2. Consider instead the left-translation with periodic boundary conditions:

$$e^{-tB}: L^p([0, 1)) \rightarrow L^p([0, 1)); \quad e^{-tB}f(x) = f(x+t-n)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x+t-n \in [0, 1)$. This semigroup is positivity preserving and irreducible.

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a positivity preserving semigroup on L^p .

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . Then

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} \, ds$$

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . Then

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} \, ds$$

for all $\lambda > s(-A)$.

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . Then

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} \, ds$$

for all $\lambda > s(-A)$. In part., $(\lambda + A)^{-1} \geq 0$.

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . Then

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} \, ds$$

for all $\lambda > s(-A)$. In part., $(\lambda + A)^{-1} \geq 0$.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . The following are equivalent:

Theorem (Laplace-transform-type equation)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . Then

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} \, ds$$

for all $\lambda > s(-A)$. In part., $(\lambda + A)^{-1} \geq 0$.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

Let $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ be a **positivity preserving** semigroup on L^p . The following are equivalent:

- (i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is irreducible.
- (ii) For some/every $\lambda > s(-A)$ and for every $0 \neq f \geq 0$,
 $(\lambda + A)^{-1} f > 0$ a.e.
- (iii) For some/every $\lambda > s(-A)$, $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irreducible.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq e^{-tA}|g|$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tA}g| &\leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f \\ &= ke^{-tA} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tA}g| &\leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f \\ &= ke^{-tA} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-(s+t)A} f \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tA}g| &\leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f \\ &= ke^{-tA} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-(s+t)A} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-t)} e^{-sA} f \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tA}g| &\leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f \\ &= ke^{-tA} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-(s+t)A} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-t)} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &\leq ke^{\lambda t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-tA}g| &\leq e^{-tA}|g| \leq ke^{-tA}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f \\ &= ke^{-tA} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-(s+t)A} f \, ds \\ &= k \int_t^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-t)} e^{-sA} f \, ds \\ &\leq ke^{\lambda t} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} f \, ds = ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

$$\implies e^{-tA}g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

$$\implies e^{-tA}g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \implies L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

$$\implies e^{-tA}g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \implies L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.}$$

$$\implies \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

$$\implies e^{-tA}g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \implies L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.}$$

$$\implies \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.} \stackrel{(i)}{\implies} \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} = L^p$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(i) $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ irred. \implies (ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f$

Proof:

Let $g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}$. For any $t \geq 0$, we find

$$|e^{-tA}g| \leq ke^{\lambda t}(\lambda + A)^{-1}f.$$

$$\implies e^{-tA}g \in L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \implies L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.}$$

$$\implies \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} \text{ is } e^{-tA}\text{-inv.} \xRightarrow{(i)} \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} = L^p$$

$$\implies (\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proof: Let I be a closed, nonzero $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -invariant ideal.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proof: Let I be a closed, nonzero $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -invariant ideal. Then there is $f \in I$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proof: Let I be a closed, nonzero $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -invariant ideal. Then there is $f \in I$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$. By invariance,

$$I \supseteq \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}}$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proof: Let I be a closed, nonzero $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -invariant ideal. Then there is $f \in I$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$. By invariance,

$$I \supseteq \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}}$$

By (ii), $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$, hence

$$\overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} = L^p.$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(ii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$ a.e. $\forall f \geq 0$ \implies (iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred.

Proof: Let I be a closed, nonzero $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -invariant ideal. Then there is $f \in I$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$. By invariance,

$$I \supseteq \overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}}$$

By (ii), $(\lambda + A)^{-1}f > 0$, hence

$$\overline{L^p_{(\lambda+A)^{-1}f}} = L^p.$$

Thus, $I = L^p$.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred. \implies (i) (e^{-tA}) is irred.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred. \implies (i) (e^{-tA}) is irred.

Proof: Use

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} g \, ds. \quad (*)$$

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred. \implies (i) (e^{-tA}) is irred.

Proof: Use

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} g \, ds. \quad (*)$$

Let I be a closed (e^{-tA}) -inv. ideal.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred. \implies (i) (e^{-tA}) is irred.

Proof: Use

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} g \, ds. \quad (*)$$

Let I be a closed (e^{-tA}) -inv. ideal.

$\stackrel{(*)}{\implies} I$ is a closed $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -inv. ideal.

Proposition (Characterization of irreducibility)

(e^{-tA}) positivity preserving; denote $\lambda > s(-A)$, $0 \neq f \geq 0$.

(iii) $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ is irred. \implies (i) (e^{-tA}) is irred.

Proof: Use

$$(\lambda + A)^{-1}g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} e^{-sA} g \, ds. \quad (*)$$

Let I be a closed (e^{-tA}) -inv. ideal.

$\xRightarrow{(*)}$ I is a closed $(\lambda + A)^{-1}$ -inv. ideal.

$\xRightarrow{(iii)}$ I is trivial. □

Theorem

Suppose $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is a holomorphic positive semigroup.

If $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is irreducible then (e^{-tA}) is positivity improving, for all $t \geq 0$.

Equivalently, given $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mu)$, $\phi \in L^{p'}(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $0 \neq f \geq 0$, $0 \neq \phi \geq 0$, then $\langle \phi, e^{-tA} f \rangle > 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Aim

We will prove that for an arbitrary holomorphic positive semigroup $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ the following holds:

Given $0 \neq f \geq 0$, $0 \neq \phi \geq 0$ then either $\langle \phi, e^{-tA} f \rangle = 0$ or $\langle \phi, e^{-tA} f \rangle > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$

Since $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ is irreducible, then always the second case occurs. For $0 \neq f \geq 0$, $0 \neq \phi \geq 0$, assume that $\langle \phi, e^{-t_0 A} f \rangle = 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$.

We consider a null sequence (t_n) , $0 < t_n < t_0$ such that

$$\| e^{-t_n A} f - f \| \leq 2^{-n}$$

and define $f_n := e^{-t_n A} f$, $g_n := f - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (f - f_k)^+$. Then we have

$$g_n \leq (f - f_m)^+ = \inf(f, f_m) \leq f_m.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and $m \geq n$ we obtain

$$0 \leq \langle \phi, e^{-(t_0 - t_m)A} g_n^+ \rangle \leq \langle \phi, e^{-(t_0 - t_m)A} f_m \rangle = \langle \phi, e^{-t_0 A} f \rangle = 0.$$

Thus the function $t \mapsto \langle \phi, e^{-tA} g_n^+ \rangle$ is identically zero by the uniqueness theorem for analytic functions. Since $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n^+$ we have $\langle \phi, e^{-tA} h \rangle = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.