

# Solution key for Lecture 8 of ISEM 26

December 14, 2022

## Exercise 1

The definition of  $g_\alpha$  yields

$$g_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{\langle 1_x, (L + \alpha)^{-1} 1_y \rangle}{m(x)m(y)}.$$

It follows from Theorem 6.1 that  $g_\alpha > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  if and only if  $(b, c)$  is connected.

First, suppose  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Then let  $u$  be the ground state, and so  $1_{\lambda_0}(L) = \langle u, \cdot \rangle u$ . For  $\mu$  from the proof of Theorem 6.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} m(x)m(y)|\alpha g_\alpha(x, y) - u(x)u(y)| &= |\langle 1_x, (\alpha(L + \alpha)^{-1} - 1_{\lambda_0}(L))1_y \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\infty (1 - 1_0(s)) d\mu(s) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. In the above second equality, we used  $\lambda_0 = 0$ .

Next, suppose  $\lambda_0 > 0$ . Then, by taking  $u \equiv 0$ ,

$$m(x)m(y)|\alpha g_\alpha(x, y) - u(x)u(y)| = |\langle 1_x, \alpha(L + \alpha)^{-1} 1_y \rangle| = \left| \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty \frac{\alpha}{\lambda_0 + \alpha} d\mu(s) \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Overall, we proved both claims in the exercise.

## Exercise 2

Recall that

$$Q(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)|^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) |f(x)|^2,$$

$$L(f)(x) = \frac{1}{m(x)} \sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) (f(x) - f(y)) + \frac{c(x)}{m(x)} f(x).$$

We see that  $Q(f) = 0$  is equivalent to  $c \equiv 0$ , which clearly implies that  $f \equiv C$  for some constant  $C$  is an eigenfunction of  $L$  with eigenvalue 0.

For the other direction, suppose  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Then there exists an eigenfunction  $f \not\equiv 0$  such that  $L(f) \equiv 0$ . Thus

$$0 = \langle f, L(f) \rangle = Q(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)|^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) |f(x)|^2.$$

This implies that  $f \equiv C$  for some  $C \neq 0$ , and then  $c \equiv 0$ .

---

### Exercise 3

Since  $m(X) = \infty$ , by Example 6.5, we have  $\lambda_0 > 0$ . The conclusion then follows immediately from the theorem of Chavel-Karp, which says

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log p_t(x, y) = -\lambda_0 < 0.$$

### Exercise 4

Let  $(d, 0)$  be the standard weights on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (then  $d \in \{0, 1\}$ ), and  $\Delta$  be the associated Laplacian. Let us assume that  $(d, 0)$  is connected. By Remark 1.22,  $e^{-t\Delta}1 = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ . (Though the remark is stated for finite graphs, the same holds on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  by approximation Lemma 5.3.

Note that it follows from the formula given in Exercise 3 on sheet 5,

$$\Delta^s f = -\frac{s}{|\Gamma(1-s)|} \int_0^\infty (e^{-t\Delta} - I) f \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}.$$

Thus  $e^{-t\Delta}1 = 1$  yields  $\Delta^s 1 = 0$  which implies that  $c \equiv 0$ . Moreover, for any  $x \neq y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^s 1_y(x) &= -\frac{1}{m(x)} b(x, y) = -\frac{s}{|\Gamma(1-s)|} \int_0^\infty (e^{-t\Delta} - I) 1_y(x) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}} \\ &= -\frac{s}{|\Gamma(1-s)|} \int_0^\infty p_t(x, y) m(y) \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

So to prove  $b(x, y) > 0$ , it suffices to verify  $p_t(x, y) > 0$ . Indeed, since  $(d, 0)$  is connected, Theorem 6.1 yields that  $\Delta$  is positivity improving, and therefore

$$p_t(x, y) = e^{-t\Delta} 1_y(x) / m(y) > 0.$$

**Note that the approximation argument is wrong; however, one can show the equality given in the hint directly.**