

Sheet 7

Approximation and Dirichlet forms

Exercise 1 ($Q^{(D)} \neq Q^{(N)}$)

4 points

Let (b,c) be a graph over (X,m) with vanishing killing term $c = 0$ such that $m(X) = 1$ and $\lambda_0 = \inf \sigma(L^{(D)}) > 0$. Show that $Q^{(D)} \neq Q^{(N)}$.

Solution: As $Q^{(D)}$ is a restriction of $Q^{(N)}$ it is sufficient to show that $D(Q^{(D)}) \neq D(Q^{(N)})$. So finding a function f with $f \in D(Q^{(N)})$ and $f \notin D(Q^{(D)})$ finishes the proof.

We claim that the constant one function $f = 1$ on X satisfies the above.

(i) $f \in D(Q^{(N)})$. First, we note that the finite measure of X implies

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x)^2 \cdot m(x) = \sum_{x \in X} 1 \cdot m(x) = m(X) = 1 < \infty.$$

Thus, $f \in \ell^2(X,m)$ and $\|f\| = 1$. Due to the vanishing killing term, we also find

$$\mathcal{Q}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{x,y \in X} b(x,y) \cdot (f(x) - f(y))^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) \cdot f(x)^2 = \sum_{x,y \in X} b(x,y) \cdot (1 - 1)^2 = 0,$$

from which we conclude $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $f \in D(Q^{(N)}) = \mathcal{D} \cap \ell^2(X,m)$.

(ii) $f \notin D(Q^{(D)})$. First, we assume $f \in D(Q^{(D)})$ and show that this leads to a contradiction to the given assumptions. Theorem 6.3 yields

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{g \in D(Q^{(D)}), \|g\|=1} Q^{(D)}(g). \tag{1}$$

We have shown in (i) that $\|f\| = 1$ and $Q^{(D)}(f) = \mathcal{Q}(f) = 0$. Hence, it follows from the assumption $f \in D(Q^{(D)})$ that f is one of the functions over which the infimum is taken. Thus, we conclude

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{g \in D(Q^{(D)}), \|g\|=1} Q^{(D)}(g) \leq Q^{(D)}(f) = \mathcal{Q}(f) = 0$$

Thus, $\lambda_0 \leq 0$, which is a contradiction to the assumption $\lambda_0 > 0$. Therefore, f cannot be an element of $D(Q^{(D)})$.

In conclusion, the constant one function $f = 1$ lies in $D(Q^{(N)}) \setminus D(Q^{(D)})$ and thus $D(Q^{(D)}) \neq D(Q^{(N)})$. From this, $Q^{(D)} \neq Q^{(N)}$ follows.

Exercise 2 ($Q^{(D)} = Q^{(N)}$)

4 points

Let (b,c) be a graph over (X,m) such that the *weighted degree*

$$\text{Deg}_c: X \rightarrow [0, \infty), \text{Deg}_c(x) := \frac{1}{m(x)} \left(\sum_{y \in X} b(x,y) + c(x) \right)$$

is bounded. Show that $Q^{(D)} = Q^{(N)}$.

Solution: The Neumann and Dirichlet forms are defined by

$$Q^{(N)}: D(Q^{(N)}) \times D(Q^{(N)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (f,g) \mapsto Q^{(N)}(f,g) := \mathcal{Q}(f,g) \text{ and}$$

$$Q^{(D)}: D(Q^{(D)}) \times D(Q^{(D)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (f,g) \mapsto Q^{(D)}(f,g) := \mathcal{Q}(f,g),$$

where $D(Q^{(N)}) := \ell^2(X,m) \cap \mathcal{D}$ and $D(Q^{(D)}) := \overline{C_c(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}}}$, respectively. Since $Q^{(N)}$ and $Q^{(D)}$ are restrictions of \mathcal{Q} , we need to show $D(Q^{(N)}) = D(Q^{(D)})$. Let $D \geq 0$ be such that $\text{Deg}_c(x) \leq D$ for any $x \in X$.

\supseteq : We have $\mathcal{D}_0 := \{f \in \mathcal{D} \mid \exists (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_c(X) : \varphi_n \rightarrow f \text{ pointwise, } Q(f - \varphi_n) \rightarrow 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ directly from the definition. The inclusion then follows from Thm. 4.1, which asserts $D(Q^{(D)}) = \ell^2(X, m) \cap \mathcal{D}_0$, and by $\ell^2(X, m) \cap \mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq \ell^2(X, m) \cap \mathcal{D} = D(Q^{(N)})$.

\subseteq : Let $f \in D(Q^{(N)})$. We show $f \in \overline{C_c(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_Q}$ by finding a sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C_c(X)$ which converges to f w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Q$. Set $\varphi_n := f|_{K_n}$, where $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of finite subsets of X with $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n = X$. Continuity of f implies $\varphi_n \in C_c(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $\varphi_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. We calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(\varphi_n - f) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x) - \varphi_n(y) + f(y))^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x) - (\varphi_n(y) - f(y)))^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) ((\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 + (\varphi_n(y) - f(y))^2) + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &= \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 + \sum_{x, y \in X} b(x, y) (\varphi_n(y) - f(y))^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) \right) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 + \sum_{x \in X} c(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &= 2 \sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in X} b(x, y) + c(x) \right) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{x \in X} 2Dm(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2, \\
 \|\varphi_n - f\|_Q^2 &= \|\varphi_n - f\|_2^2 + Q(\varphi_n - f) \\
 &\leq \sum_{x \in X} m(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 + \sum_{x \in X} 2Dm(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &= \sum_{x \in X} (2D + 1)m(x) (\varphi_n(x) - f(x))^2 \\
 &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Exercise 3 (Regularity and resolvent convergence)

4 points

Let (X, m) be a discrete measure space. Let Q be a Dirichlet form on (X, m) such that $C_c(X) \subseteq D(Q)$ and let L be the self-adjoint operator associated to Q . For an increasing sequence of finite sets $K_n \subseteq X$ such that $X = \bigcup_n K_n$, let L_{K_n} be the operators corresponding to the restriction of Q to $C_c(K_n)$. Assume

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_{K_n} + \alpha)^{-1} \varphi = (L + \alpha)^{-1} \varphi$$

for all $\alpha > 0$ and $\varphi \in C_c(X)$. Show that Q is regular.

Solution: We have to show that (see Lecture 6, p. 74)

(i) $D(Q) \cap C_c(X)$ is dense in $C_c(X)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$,

(ii) $D(Q) \cap C_c(X)$ is dense in $D(Q)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Q$.

Since $C_c(X) \subseteq D(Q)$, we have $D(Q) \cap C_c(X) = C_c(X)$. Thus, (i) is clearly fulfilled.

Let us turn to (ii). Due to Corollary 3.37, we know that $D(L)$ is dense in $D(Q)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Q$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that $C_c(X)$ is dense in $D(L)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Q$.

Let $f \in D(L)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. First, since $f \in D(L)$, there is $\psi \in \ell^2(X, m)$ such that $f = (L+1)^{-1}\psi$. From the continuity of $(L+1)^{-1}$ and the density of $C_c(X)$ in $\ell^2(X, m)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^2(X, m)}$, we deduce that there is $\varphi \in C_c(X)$ such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X, m))}} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L+1)^{-1}\varphi - f\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} &= \|(L+1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi)\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \leq \|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X, m))} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Due to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L_{K_n} + \alpha)^{-1}\varphi = (L + \alpha)^{-1}\varphi$ for all $\alpha > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$

$$\|(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \leq \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} &\leq \|(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} + \|(L + 1)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{\leq} \varepsilon + \|(L + 1)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)} \leq \varepsilon + \|(L + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X, m))} \|\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X, m)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Second, we set $(Q + 1)(g, h) := Q(g, h) + \langle g, h \rangle$ for $g, h \in D(Q)$. We note that

$$(Q + 1)(g) = Q(g) + \langle g, g \rangle = \|g\|_Q^2 \quad (6)$$

for all $g \in D(Q)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (Q + 1)((L + 1)^{-1}g, h) &= (Q + 1)(h, (L + 1)^{-1}g) = Q(h, (L + 1)^{-1}g) + \langle h, (L + 1)^{-1}g \rangle \\ &= \langle h, L(L + 1)^{-1}g \rangle + \langle h, (L + 1)^{-1}g \rangle = \langle h, (L + 1)(L + 1)^{-1}g \rangle \\ &= \langle h, g \rangle = \langle g, h \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all $g \in \ell^2(X, m)$ and $h \in D(Q)$ by Corollary 3.37. Further, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we remark that

$$(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\phi := i_{K_n}((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}(\phi|_{K_n}))$$

for all $\phi \in C_c(X)$ (analogously to Notation, Lecture 7, p. 83). Thus, $(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \in C_c(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &(Q + 1)(i_{K_n}(h), (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi) \\ &= Q(i_{K_n}(h), (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi) + \langle i_{K_n}(h), (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \rangle \\ &= Q_{K_n}(h, (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}(\varphi|_{K_n})) + \langle i_{K_n}(h), (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle h, L_{K_n}(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}(\varphi|_{K_n}) \rangle_{\ell^2(K_n, m_{K_n})} + \langle i_{K_n}(h), (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle i_{K_n}(h), \varphi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all $h \in C(K_n)$. Hence, $(L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f \in D(Q)$ and we have for all $n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f \right\|_Q^2 \\
& \stackrel{(6)}{=} (Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f) \\
& = (Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi + (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f) \\
& = (Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi) \\
& \quad + 2(Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f) + (Q + 1)((L + 1)^{-1}\varphi - f) \\
& = (Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi) - 2(Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi) + Q((L + 1)^{-1}\varphi) \\
& \quad + 2((Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi) - (Q + 1)((L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, f) \\
& \quad - (Q + 1)((L + 1)^{-1}\varphi) + (Q + 1)((L + 1)^{-1}\varphi, f)) + (Q + 1)((L + 1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi)) \\
& \stackrel{(7),(8)}{=} \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle - 2 \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle - \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \psi \right\rangle - \left\langle \varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle + \langle \varphi, f \rangle \right) \\
& \quad + \left\langle \varphi - \psi, (L + 1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi) \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle - \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle - \left\langle \varphi, (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi \right\rangle - \left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \psi \right\rangle - \left\langle \varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle + \langle \varphi, f \rangle \right) \\
& \quad + \left\langle \varphi - \psi, (L + 1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi) \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle \varphi, (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle + 2 \left(\left\langle (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi, \varphi - \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi, f - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\rangle \right) \\
& \quad + \left\langle \varphi - \psi, (L + 1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi) \right\rangle \\
& \leq \underbrace{\|\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)} \left\| (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi - (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(4)}{\leq \varepsilon}} \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\underbrace{\left\| (L_{K_n} + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(5)}{\leq \varepsilon + \|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}} \|\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}} \underbrace{\|\varphi - \psi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(2)}{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}}} } + \|\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)} \underbrace{\left\| f - (L + 1)^{-1}\varphi \right\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(3)}{\leq \varepsilon}} \right) \\
& \quad + \underbrace{\|\varphi - \psi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(2)}{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}}} } \underbrace{\left\| (L + 1)^{-1}(\varphi - \psi) \right\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(3)}{\leq \varepsilon}} \\
& \leq \left(5 \underbrace{\|\varphi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}}_{\stackrel{(2)}{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|(L+1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}}} + \|\psi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)}} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{\|(L + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}} \right) \varepsilon \\
& \leq \left(5 \|\psi\|_{\ell^2(X,m)} + \frac{8\varepsilon}{\|(L + 1)^{-1}\|_{B(\ell^2(X,m))}} \right) \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $C_c(X)$ is dense in $D(L)$ and thus in $D(Q)$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Q$, which proves part (ii). Therefore, Q is regular.

Exercise 4 (Bounded functions in domain are an algebra)

4 points

Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and Q be a Dirichlet form on $L^2(X, \mu)$ with domain of Q . Show that $D(Q) \cap L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is an algebra.

Solution: Clearly, $D(Q) \cap L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is a vector space. For it to be an algebra we need to show that for any $f, g \in D(Q) \cap L^\infty(X, \mu)$ we also have $f \cdot g \in D(Q) \cap L^\infty(X, \mu)$.

So let $f, g \in D(Q) \cap L^\infty(X, \mu)$. The fact that $f \cdot g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is straightforward to see, so it remains to show that $f \cdot g \in D(Q)$ as well.

In the following proof one can use either quadratic form Q^α or Q_t (see p.91). We are going to use

$$Q^\alpha(h) = \alpha \langle (I - \alpha(L + \alpha)^{-1})h, h \rangle \quad \text{for } h \in L^2(X, \mu).$$

By the Second Beurling-Deny criterion (Theorem 1.19) we get that $\alpha(L + \alpha)^{-1}$ is Markov for every $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, Lemma 5.11 is applicable to Q^α which yields the following inequality

$$Q^\alpha(fg) \leq 2 \|f\|_\infty^2 Q^\alpha(f) + 2 \|g\|_\infty^2 Q^\alpha(g).$$

Using Lemma 5.12 and the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q'(fg) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} Q^\alpha(fg) \\ &\leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} 2 \|f\|_\infty^2 Q^\alpha(f) + 2 \|g\|_\infty^2 Q^\alpha(g) \\ &\leq 2 \|f\|_\infty^2 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} Q^\alpha(f) + 2 \|g\|_\infty^2 \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} Q^\alpha(g) \\ &= 2 \|f\|_\infty^2 Q'(f) + 2 \|g\|_\infty^2 Q'(g) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since, again by Lemma 5.12, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} Q^\alpha(fg)$ is finite if and only if $fg \in D(Q)$ we obtain the desired result.