

Exercise 14.1

Let V, H be separable Hilbert spaces such that $V \overset{d}{\hookrightarrow} H$, $\dim H = \infty$. Assume that the injection of V into H is compact. Let $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be continuous, coercive and symmetric.

(a) Show that there exist an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H and an increasing sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ such that

$$V = \left\{ u \in H; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(u | e_n)_H|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and $a(u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (u | e_n)_H (e_n | v)_H$ for all $u, v \in V$.

Hint: Use Theorem 6.17 and Corollary 6.18.

(b) Show that V^* can be identified with the space $\{(y_n) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{-1} |y_n|^2 < \infty\}$, with the canonical mapping $H \hookrightarrow V^*$ given by $u \mapsto ((u | e_n)_H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

(c) Show that the operator $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$ from Section 14.1, considered as an operator in V^* , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{y \in V^*; (\lambda_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in V^*\}, \\ \mathcal{A}y &= (\lambda_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (y \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned}$$

Show that $-\mathcal{A}$ generates a C_0 -semigroup on V^* , given by

$$e^{-t\mathcal{A}}y = (e^{-t\lambda_n} y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

(a) Let A be the operator associated with a . Then by Corollary 6.18, A is a positive self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent. Hence Theorem 6.17 applies and thus there exist an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(A) &= \left\{ u \in H; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 |(u | e_n)_H|^2 < \infty \right\}, \\ Au &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (u | e_n)_H e_n \quad (u \in \text{dom}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$W := \left\{ u \in H; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |(u | e_n)_H|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and $b(u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (u | e_n)_H (e_n | v)_H$ for all $u, v \in W$ as in Example 6.16. Clearly, both a and b are densely defined embedded closed sectorial forms and A is associated with both a and b . But Corollary 12.6 implies that this form is unique, hence $a = b$ and $V = W$.

(b) We can identify H with $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ using $u \mapsto ((u|e_n)_H)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Similarly we can identify V with an ℓ^2 -space weighted by $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Taking the counting measure and $m = (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can apply Example 14.1 to get the assertion.

(c) Clearly, $(\lambda_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in V^*$ if and only if $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in V$. Hence, applying Example 14.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\mathcal{A}) &= V = \{y \in V^*; (\lambda_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in V^*\}, \\ \mathcal{A}y &= (\lambda_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (y \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned}$$

For the second assertion we can apply Exercise 1.3 with $a = (-\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Exercise 14.2

Prove Lemma 14.4.

Let $f \in L_1(a, b; H)$ and define

$$T_f: H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad T_f(v) := \int_a^b (f(t)|v)_H dt.$$

The mapping T_f is antilinear and continuous since

$$|T_f(v)| \leq \int_a^b |(f(t)|v)_H| dt \leq \|v\| \int_a^b \|f(t)\| dt = \|v\| \|f\|_{L_1(a,b;H)} \quad (1)$$

holds for every $v \in H$ by Cauchy-Schwarz, implying $T_f \in H^*$. Applying the theorem of Riesz-Fréchet to the mapping $\overline{T_f} \in H'$ defined by $\overline{T_f}(v) := \overline{T_f(v)}$, we obtain that there is a unique $w_f \in H$ such that

$$\int_a^b (f(t)|v)_H dt = T_f(v) = \overline{\overline{T_f(v)}} = \overline{(v|w_f)_H} = (w_f|v)_H \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_f\|_{H^*} = \|w_f\| \quad (2)$$

holds for every $v \in H$. Now we define the mapping

$$T: L_1(a, b; H) \rightarrow H, \quad T(f) := \int_a^b f(t) dt := w_f.$$

This mapping is linear and continuous since

$$\|T(f)\| = \|w_f\| \stackrel{(2)}{=} \|T_f\|_{H^*} = \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} |T_f(v)| \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \|f\|_{L_1(a,b;H)}$$

is valid for every $f \in L_1(a, b; H)$.

Exercise 14.3

(a) Let $w \in H$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty(a, b)$. Then Lemma 14.4 gives:

$$0 = \left(\int_a^b \phi(t)u(t) dt \middle| w \right)_H = \int_a^b (\phi(t)u(t) | w)_H dt = \int_a^b \phi(t)(u(t) | w)_H dt,$$

where $(u(\cdot) | w)_H \in L_1(a, b)$ is a scalar function. Using Lemma 4.5 we get that

$$(u(\cdot) | w)_H = 0 \text{ a.e. on } (a, b). \quad (1)$$

Thus, there exists a measurable set $\Omega_w \subseteq (a, b)$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega_w} 1 dt = 0 \text{ and } (u(\cdot) | w)_H|_{(a,b)\setminus\Omega_w} = 0.$$

Since H is separable, there exists a countable set $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq H$ such that for any $x \in H$ we have

$$\|x\|_H = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |(x | x_n)_H|.$$

The countable union of measurable subsets is measurable again. And if the measure of each of those sets equals zero, then the same holds for the countable union. Therefore, $\Omega := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{x_n}$ is a measurable set such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 1 dt = 0 \text{ and } (u(\cdot) | x_n)_H|_{(a,b)\setminus\Omega} = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This in turn means that $\|u(\cdot)\|_H = 0$ a.e. on (a, b) , hence $u = 0$ a.e. on (a, b) .

In particular, this shows, that the weak derivative defined as in section 14.3, is unique, if it exists:

Let $u \in L_1(a, b; H)$ and suppose that there exist weak derivatives $v_1, v_2 \in L_1(a, b; H)$ of u . Then the definition yields that for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(a, b)$ we have

$$\int_a^b (v_1(t) - v_2(t))\phi(t) dt = \int_a^b (u(t) - u(t))\phi'(t) dt = 0,$$

and thus $v_1 = v_2$ a.e.

(b) Consider the non-separable Hilbert space $\ell_2(0, 1)$. Recall that $f: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is in $\ell_2(0, 1)$ by definition if and only if

$$\exists S_f \subseteq (0, 1) \text{ countable such that } f|_{(0,1)\setminus S_f} = 0, \text{ and } \sum_{s \in S_f} |f(s)|^2 < \infty.$$

The scalar product is given by

$$(f | g) = \sum_{s \in S_f \cup S_g} f(s)\overline{g(s)}.$$

For $t \in (0, 1)$ we set $u(t) := \mathbf{1}_{\{t\}}$, where $\mathbf{1}_C$ denotes the indicator function for a set $C \subseteq (0, 1)$:

$$\mathbf{1}_C(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s \in C, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (s \in (0, 1)).$$

Then, $u \in L_1(0, 1; \ell_2(0, 1))$ with $\|u(t)\|_{\ell_2(0, 1)} = 1$ for every $t \in (0, 1)$, while for any $g \in \ell_2(0, 1)$

$$(u(\cdot) | g)|_{(0, 1) \setminus S_g} = 0.$$

In other words: we have that $(u(\cdot) | g) = 0$ a.e. for all $g \in \ell_2(0, 1)$, which shows that separability was a necessary condition in (a).

Exercise 14.4

Let V and H Hilbert spaces over the field \mathbb{K} with $V \stackrel{d}{\hookrightarrow} H$. Let $\tau > 0$ and $a : [0, \tau] \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that

- (a) $\forall t \in [0, \tau] : a(t, \cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is sesquilinear,
- (b) $\exists M \geq 0 \forall t \in [0, \tau], u, v \in V : |a(t, u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V$,
- (c) $\exists \omega \geq 0, \alpha > 0 \forall t \in [0, \tau], u \in V : \operatorname{Re} a(t, u, u) + \omega \|u\|_H^2 \geq \alpha \|u\|_V^2$,
- (d) $\forall u, v \in V : a(\cdot, u, v) : [0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is measurable.

For $t \in [0, \tau]$ define the operator $\mathcal{A}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$ by

$$\forall u, v \in V : \langle \mathcal{A}(t)u, v \rangle = a(t, u, v)$$

and define $\mathcal{A} : L_2(0, \tau; V) \rightarrow L_2(0, \tau; V^*)$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}u)(t) := \mathcal{A}(t)u(t) \quad (t \in (0, \tau))$$

for $u \in L_2(0, \tau; V)$ (cp. Proposition 14.14).

Theorem. *Let $u_0 \in H$ and $f \in L_2(0, \tau; V^*)$. Then there exists a unique $u \in \operatorname{MR}(0, \tau) = H^1(0, \tau; V^*) \cap L_2(0, \tau; V)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u' + \mathcal{A}u &= f, \\ u(0) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proof. We define the mapping $\tilde{a} : [0, \tau] \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$\tilde{a}(t, u, v) := a(t, u, v) + \omega(u | v)_H$$

for $t \in [0, \tau], u, v \in V$. Then, \tilde{a} satisfies the assumptions (i)-(iv) of Section 14.5. Indeed, $\tilde{a}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is sesquilinear for each $t \in [0, \tau]$ and $\tilde{a}(\cdot, u, v)$ is measurable for each $u, v \in V$, which shows (i) and (iv). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{a}(t, u, v)| &\leq |a(t, u, v)| + \omega |(u | v)_H| \\ &\leq M \|u\|_V \|v\|_V + \omega \|u\|_H \|v\|_H \\ &\leq (M + \omega C) \|u\|_V \|v\|_V \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in [0, \tau]$, $u, v \in V$, where $C > 0$ is such that $\|x\|_H \leq C\|x\|_V$ for each $x \in V$ (recall $V \hookrightarrow H$), which is (ii). Finally, we have for each $t \in [0, \tau]$, $u \in V$

$$\operatorname{Re} \tilde{a}(t, u, u) = \operatorname{Re} a(t, u, u) + \omega \|u\|_H^2 \geq \alpha \|u\|_V^2,$$

which gives (iii). For $t \in [0, \tau]$ we denote by $\tilde{A}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(V, V^*)$ the operator associated with $\tilde{a}(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ and set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} : L_2(0, \tau; V) \rightarrow L_2(0, \tau; V^*)$ with

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}u)(t) = \tilde{A}(t)u(t) \quad (t \in (0, \tau), u \in L_2(0, \tau; V)).$$

For $t \in [0, \tau]$, $u, v \in V$ we have that

$$\langle \tilde{A}(t)u, v \rangle = \tilde{a}(t, u, v) = a(t, u, v) + \omega(u|v)_H = \langle (\mathcal{A}(t) + \omega)u, v \rangle,$$

which gives $\tilde{A}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) + \omega$ and consequently, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} + \omega$. By Theorem 14.16 we find a unique $v \in \operatorname{MR}(0, \tau)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v' + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}v &= e^{-\omega \cdot} f \\ v(0) &= u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where we have used that $e^{-\omega \cdot} f \in L_2(0, \tau; V^*)$, since $\int_0^\tau e^{-2\omega t} \|f(t)\|_{V^*}^2 dt \leq \|f\|_{L_2(0, \tau; V^*)}^2$. Let $u := e^{\omega \cdot} v$. Then, $u \in \operatorname{MR}(0, \tau)$. Indeed, we have that $u \in L_2(0, \tau; H)$ since $\int_0^\tau e^{2\omega t} \|v(t)\|_H^2 dt \leq e^{2\omega\tau} \|v\|_{L_2(0, \tau; H)}^2$ and thus, $u' = \omega u + e^{\omega \cdot} v' \in L_2(0, \tau; V^*)$. Moreover, we have that $u(0) = v(0) = u_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= \omega u + e^{\omega \cdot} v' \\ &= \omega u + e^{\omega \cdot} (e^{-\omega \cdot} f - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}v) \\ &= \omega u + f - e^{\omega \cdot} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot)v(\cdot) \\ &= \omega u + f - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}u \\ &= f - \mathcal{A}u, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that u is a solution of (2). Moreover, if $u \in \operatorname{MR}(0, \tau)$ is a solution of (2), then $v := e^{-\omega \cdot} u$ is a solution of (3), which follows by the same argumentation as above. Hence, the uniqueness follows by the uniqueness statement in Theorem 14.16. \square