

ISEM 18: Solutions to the Exercises of Lecture 12

Delft Team

March 5, 2015

Exercise 12.1 Let H be a complex Hilbert space, a an embedded sectorial form in H . Show the following criteria for a being closed or closable:

(a) a is closed if and only if for any Cauchy sequence (u_n) in $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H one has $\|u_n - u\| \rightarrow 0$.

(b) a is closable if and only if for any Cauchy sequence (u_n) in $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$ with $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H one has $\|u_n\|_a \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of (a). Assume a closed. Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$, with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H . By the closedness of a , $\exists u_0 \in \text{dom}(a)$ s.t. $\|u_n - u_0\|_a \rightarrow 0$.

Observe that $j : \text{dom}(a) \hookrightarrow H$ is bounded. In fact, since a is sectorial, $\exists c \geq 0$ s.t. $\text{Re } a(u) \geq c^{-1} |\text{Im } a(u)|$ and hence $\|u\|_a = (\text{Re } a(u) + \|u\|_H^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \|u\|_H$.

This implies that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in H . By uniqueness of limits we can conclude that $u = u_0 \in \text{dom}(a)$ and hence $\|u_n - u\|_a \rightarrow 0$ as wanted.

Assume now that for every Cauchy sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$, with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H , we have $\|u_n - u\|_a \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$ is complete, and thus a is closed. \square

Proof of (b). Let (V, q) be the completion of $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$, with (\tilde{a}, \tilde{j}) completion of (a, j) , according to the definitions in Lecture 12.

Assume that a is closable. Then, by definition, $\tilde{j} : V \hookrightarrow H$ is injective. Recall also that $\|q(\cdot)\|_V = \|\cdot\|_a$.

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(\text{dom}(a), \|\cdot\|_a)$ and assume that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H . By continuity of j , also $j(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H .

Observe that the equivalence of norms $\|u_n\|_a = \|q(u_n)\|_V$ yields that also $(q(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in V . Thus, $\exists v \in V$ s.t. $q(u_n) \rightarrow v$ in V , and by continuity of \tilde{j} we get $\tilde{j}(q(u_n)) \rightarrow \tilde{j}(v)$. Therefore, $\tilde{j}(v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{j}(q(u_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} j(u_n) = 0$ in H .

By injectivity of \tilde{j} , we can conclude that $v = 0$ and thus $\|u_n\|_a = \|q(u_n)\|_V \rightarrow 0$, as wanted.

For the converse, we need to show that $\tilde{j} : V \hookrightarrow H$ is injective.

Let $u \in V$ s.t. $\tilde{j}(u) = 0$ in H . We want to show that $u = 0$ in V . For this, observe that since $q : \text{dom}(a) \rightarrow V$ has dense range, there exists a sequence $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{dom}(a)$ s.t. $q(u_n) \rightarrow u$ in V . By the continuity of \tilde{j} we get that $j(u_n) = \tilde{j}(q(u_n)) \rightarrow \tilde{j}(u) = 0$ in H , from which holds by the continuity of j that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in H .

By assumptions and norm equivalences, $\|q(u_n)\|_V = \|u_n\|_a \rightarrow 0$, which implies that $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q(u_n) = q(0) = 0$. So it must be $u = 0$ and we can conclude that \tilde{j} is injective and by definition a is closable. \square

Exercise 12.2 Let $H := L_2(-1, 1)$, a_1, a_2 in H defined by $\text{dom}(a_j) = C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(u, v) &:= u(0)\overline{v(0)}, \\ a_2(u, v) &:= \int_{-1}^1 u'(x)\overline{v'(x)}dx + u(0)\overline{v(0)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$.

For $j = 1, 2$ determine whether a_j is closable. Find the completion of $(\text{dom}(a_j), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_j})$ and the operator associated with a_j .

Solution for $j = 1$: **Claim:** a_1 is not closable. The completion of $(\text{dom}(a_1), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_1})$ is $L_2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ (direct sum of Hilbert spaces) with $q : C_c^\infty(-1, 1) \rightarrow L_2(-1, 1) \oplus \mathbb{C}, u \mapsto (u, u(0))$.

Proof: First note that

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{a_1} = (u|v)_{L_2} + u(0)\overline{v(0)}, \quad u, v \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1).$$

So we may view $(\text{dom}(a_1), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_1})$ as subspace of the Hilbert space $H \oplus \mathbb{C}$ via the mapping $\text{dom}(a_1) \rightarrow H \oplus \mathbb{C}, u \mapsto (u, u(0))$. Since $\text{dom}(a_1)$ is dense in $H \oplus \mathbb{C}$, the second part of the claim follows. For the part that a_1 is not closable we just have the note that, in the notation of Remark 12.3(d), $\tilde{j} : H \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$ is the projection onto the first coordinate and in particular not injective. \square

Solution for $j = 2$: **Claim:** a_2 is closable. The completion of $(\text{dom}(a_2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_2})$ is $H_0^1(-1, 1)$, when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = (u|v)_{L_2} + (u'|v')_{L_2} + u(0)\overline{v(0)}, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(-1, 1), \quad (0.1)$$

with q and \tilde{j} both the natural inclusion.

Proof: We only need to show the second part; the natural inclusion \tilde{j} is injective so that a_2 will be closable. For $u \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{a_2} &= \|u\|_{L_2(-1,1)} + \sqrt{\Re a_2(u)} = \|u\|_{L_2(-1,1)} + \sqrt{\|u'\|_{L_2(-1,1)}^2 + |u(0)|^2} \\ &\approx \|u\|_{L_2(-1,1)} + \|u'\|_{L_2(-1,1)} + |u(0)| \\ &\approx \|u\|_{H_0^1(-1,1)} + |u(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_0^1(-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, u \mapsto u(0)$ is bounded, it follows that $\|u\|_{a_2} \approx \|u\|_{H_0^1(-1,1)}$ for all $u \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$. Since $C_c^\infty(-1, 1)$ is dense in the complete space $H_0^1(-1, 1)$, it follows that the completion of $(\text{dom}(a_j), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_j})$ is $H_0^1(-1, 1)$ with \tilde{j} the inclusion mapping. Furthermore, note that (0.1) is just an extension of the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_2}$ to $H_0^1(-1, 1)$. \square

Exercise 12.3 Let $\Omega := (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$.

- Determine the relation tr of Section 12.5, and show that $\text{dom}(\text{tr})$ is not dense.
- Find $\partial_\nu u$ for those $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\Delta u \in L_2(\Omega)$ for which $\partial_\nu u \in L_2(\partial\Omega)$.
- Determine the Robin-Laplacian for $\beta = 1$.

Solution of 12.3(a). **Claim:** $\text{dom}(\text{tr}) = C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega) = H^1(-1, 1)$ and $\text{tr } u = \{u|_{\partial\Omega}\} = \{u|_{\{-1,0,1\}}\}$ for every $u \in C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$.

As a consequence of this claim, $\text{dom}(\text{tr})$ is a closed proper subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$, and thus certainly not dense.

Proof of claim. We first show that $\text{dom}(\text{tr}) \subset C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$ and that $\text{tr } u \subset \{u|_{\{-1,0,1\}}\}$ for all $u \in \text{dom}(\text{tr})$. Let $u \in \text{dom}(\text{tr})$, that is, $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\text{tr } u \neq \emptyset$; say $g \in \text{tr } u$. Then there exists a sequence $(u_k)_k \subset C([-1, 1]) \cap H^1(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $u_k|_{\partial\Omega} \rightarrow g$ in $L_2(\partial\Omega)$. Since

$$H^1(\Omega) = H^1(-1, 0) \oplus H^1(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C[-1, 0] \oplus C[0, 1]$$

while $C[-1, 1]$ is a closed subspace of $C[-1, 0] \oplus C[0, 1]$, it follows that $u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k$ in $C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$. So $u \in C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$ with $u|_{\{-1,0,1\}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k|_{\{-1,0,1\}} = g$ in $C[\{-1, 0, 1\}] \cong L^2(\partial\Omega)$. As this holds for arbitrary $g \in \text{tr } u$, this shows $\text{tr } u \subset \{u|_{\{-1,0,1\}}\}$.

Next we show that $\{u|_{\partial\Omega}\} \subset \text{tr } u$ for every $u \in C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$; this in particular shows that $C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega) \subset \text{dom}(\text{tr})$. Let $u \in C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega)$. Then the inclusion $\{u|_{\partial\Omega}\} \subset \text{tr } u$ follows by simply taking $u_k = u$ in the definition of $\text{tr } u$.

Finally we show that $C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega) = H^1(-1, 1)$. The inclusion ' \supset ' follows directly from the fact that $H^1(-1, 1) \subset C[-1, 1]$. For the reverse inclusion, recall that

$$H^1(a, b) = \left\{ u \in C[a, b]; \exists g \in L_2(a, b) : u(x) - u(y) = \int_y^x g(t) dt \right\}, \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty; \quad (0.2)$$

see Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.9. Let $u \in H^1(\Omega) = H^1(-1, 1) \oplus H^1(0, 1)$. Then there exist $g_l \in L_2(-1, 0)$ and $g_r \in L_2(0, 1)$ representing $u_l := u|_{(-1,0)} \in H^1(-1, 0)$ and $u_r := u|_{(0,1)} \in H^1(0, 1)$, respectively, as in (0.2). Now it is not difficult to see that $g := g_l + g_r \in L_2(-1, 0) \oplus L_2(0, 1) = L_2(-1, 1)$ represents $u \in C[-1, 1]$ as in (0.2). Hence, $u \in H^1(-1, 1)$. \square

Solution of 12.3(b). First observe that $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ if and only if $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\Delta u \in L_2(\Omega)$. So we need to find $\partial_\nu u$ for those $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ for which $\partial_\nu u \in L_2(\partial\Omega)$.

Claim: Let $u \in H^2(\Omega) = H^2(-1, 0) \oplus H^2(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C^1[-1, 0] \oplus C^1[0, 1]$. Then for the function $h \in L_2(\partial\Omega)$ given by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} -u'(-1), & x = -1; \\ u'(0+) - u'(0-), & x = 0; \\ u'(1), & x = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $u'(0+) = u'|_{]0,1[}(0)$ and $u'(0-) = u'|_{]-1,0[}(0)$, we have $\partial_\nu u = h$.

Proof. Fix $u \in H^2(\Omega) = H^2(-1, 0) \oplus H^2(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C^1[-1, 0] \oplus C^1[0, 1]$. For $v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H^1(\Omega)$ we compute, using the fact that the product of two $H^1(\Omega)$ -functions is an $H^1(\Omega)$ -function again with derivative given via the Leibniz rule (referentie geven?),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u) v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} u' v' \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u'' v \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [u' v' + u'' v] \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u' v)' \, dx \\ &= \int_{]-1,0[} (u' v)' \, dx + \int_{]0,1[} (u' v)' \, dx \\ &= u'(0-)v(0) - u'(-1)v(-1) + u'(1)v(1) - u'(0+)v(0) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} h v \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. \square

Solution of 12.3(c). Claim: The Robin-Laplacian Δ_1 is the linear operator A given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(u, f) \in H^1(-1, 1) \times L_2(\Omega); -\Delta u = f \text{ on } \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\partial_\nu u\} \\ &= \{(u, f) \in [H^1(-1, 1) \cap H^2(\Omega)] \times L_2(\Omega); -\Delta u = f \text{ on } \Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\partial_\nu u\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is well-defined thanks to (b).

Proof. Recall that the Robin-Laplacian Δ_1 is defined as the relation

$$\Delta_1 = \{(u, f) \in H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\Omega); -\Delta u = f, \exists g \in \text{tr } u : \partial_\nu u = -g\}.$$

The inclusion $A \subset \Delta_1$ follows directly from (a). For the reverse inclusion, let $(u, f) \in \Delta_1$. Then there exists $g \in \text{tr } u$ such that $\partial_\nu u = -g$. By (a) we must thus have $u \in \text{dom}(\text{tr}) = C[-1, 1] \cap H^1(\Omega) = H^1(-1, 1)$ with $u|_{\partial\Omega} = g = -\partial_\nu u$. This shows $\Delta_1 \subset A$, as desired. \square

Exercise 12.4 Let $S := [0, 1] \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S$ having the set S as its cluster values. Let (r_n) be a sequence in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$, $B[x_n, r_n] \cap S = \emptyset$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $B[x_n, r_n] \cap B[x_m, r_m] = \emptyset$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \neq n$). Let $\Omega := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(x_n, r_n)$.

(a) Determine $\partial\Omega$ and $\sigma_1(\partial\Omega)$ (1-dimensional Hausdorff measure).

(b) Show that $\text{dom}(\text{tr})$ is dense in $H^1(\Omega)$ and that $\text{tr } 0 = L_2(S)$ (where $L_2(S) \subset L_2(\partial\Omega)$ is the natural embedding).

(c) Let D_0 be the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for Ω . Show that $L_2(S) \subset \text{dom}(D_0)$ and that $D_0|_{L_2(S)} = 0$.

Solution of 12.4(a). Claim: $\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial B[x_n, r_n] \cup S$ and $\sigma_1(\partial\Omega) = 1 + 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n$.

Proof. We first determine $\overline{\Omega}$. Define $A := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B[x_n, r_n] \cup S$. We claim that $A = \overline{\Omega}$. The assumption that S consists of cluster values of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ yields $A \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$.

Now let $y \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_k\} \in \Omega$ that converges to y . If there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B(x_n, r_n)$ contains infinitely many terms of the sequence, then the limit $y \in B[x_n, r_n] \subseteq A$. In the other case, we have for every n only finitely many terms of $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ in $B(x_n, r_n)$. Therefore, we can find for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ numbers $n(m), k(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_{n(m)} \leq m^{-1}$ and $y_{k(m)} \in B(x_{n(m)}, r_{n(m)})$. By assumption $y_{k(m)}$ converges to y , which implies that $x_{n(m)}$ converges to y . But S is the set of cluster values of $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, which yields $y \in S$.

This proves $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B[x_n, r_n] \cup S$. All the sets in the union are disjoint by assumption. This together with the fact that Ω is open gives $\partial\Omega = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial B(x_n, r_n) \cup S$.

We now determine $\sigma_1(\partial\Omega)$. As $\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial B(x_n, r_n) \cup S$ as a disjoint union, we obtain

$$\sigma_1(\partial\Omega) = \sigma_1(S) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_1(\partial B(x_n, r_n)).$$

Since σ_1 coincides with the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we find $\sigma_1(S) = 1$. All balls $B(x_n, r_n)$ have C^1 boundary, so $\sigma_1(\partial B(x_n, r_n)) = 2\pi r_n$. We conclude

$$\sigma_1(\partial\Omega) = 1 + 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n.$$

\square

Notations and preparations for 12.4(b). Writing $B_n := B(x_n, r_n)$ for each n , we have the canonical isometric isomorphisms

$$H^1(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^1(B_n) \quad \left(= \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^1(B_n) \right)_{\ell^2} \right) \quad (0.3)$$

and

$$L_2(\partial\Omega \setminus S) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L_2(\partial B_n) \quad \left(= \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L_2(\partial B_n) \right)_{\ell^2} \right).$$

Denote by $(\pi_n)_n$ and (P_n) the sequence of coordinate projections and its sequence of partial sum projections, respectively, associated with $H^1(\Omega)$; here $P_n = \pi_1 + \dots + \pi_n$.

By Theorem 7.9, for each n we have a trace operator $\text{tr}_n \in \mathcal{B}(H^1(B_n), L_2(\partial B_n))$. So we can define the closed linear operator

$$\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} := \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}_n : \text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S}) \subset H^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow L_2(\partial\Omega \setminus S), u \mapsto (\text{tr}_n \pi_n u)_n$$

with its natural domain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S}) &:= \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : (\text{tr}_n \pi_n u)_n \in L_2(\partial\Omega \setminus S)\} \\ &= \left[\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(H^1(B_n), \|\cdot\|_{\text{dom}(\text{tr}_n)} \right) \right]_{\ell^2} \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

Since each trace operator tr_n is the unique bounded linear extension of the classical restriction operator $u \mapsto u|_{\partial B_n}, C(\overline{B_n}) \cap H^1(B_n) \longrightarrow C(\partial B_n)$, we have

$$C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H^1(\Omega) \subset \text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S}) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} u = u|_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} \quad \text{for } u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H^1(\Omega). \quad (0.5)$$

Solution of 12.4(b). We first show that $\text{dom}(\text{tr})$ is dense in $H^1(\Omega)$. In light of the direct sum decomposition (0.3),

$$D := \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \pi_n u = 0 \text{ for only finitely many } n\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ran}(P_n)$$

defines a dense subspace of $H^1(\Omega)$. So it is enough to show that $D \subset \text{dom}(\text{tr})$. But for each $u \in D \subset \text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S})$ it holds that $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} u \in \text{tr} u$. Indeed, if $u \in \text{ran}(P_N)$, then there exists a sequence $(u_k)_k \subset \bigoplus_{n=1}^N C^1(\overline{B_n}) = C^1(\bigcup_{n=1}^N \overline{B_n}) \subset C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k$ in $\bigoplus_{n=1}^N H^1(B_n) \hookrightarrow \text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S})$. As $u_k|_S = 0$ for every k , we obtain $u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} u_k \stackrel{(0.5)}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L_2(\partial\Omega)$.

Next we show that $\text{tr} 0 = L_2(S)$. Being the pre-image of the closed set

$$\overline{\{(v, v|_{\partial\Omega}) : v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \cap H^1(\Omega)\}}^{\text{---}H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\partial\Omega)}$$

under the the continuous mapping

$$L_2(\partial\Omega) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega) \times L_2(\partial\Omega), g \mapsto (0, g),$$

$\text{tr } 0$ is a closed subset of $L_2(\partial\Omega)$. By denseness of $C^1(S)$ in $L_2(S)$, it thus suffices to show that

$$C^1(S) \subset \text{tr } 0 \subset L_2(S).$$

The second inclusion follows from the definition of $\text{tr } 0$, (0.5) and the fact that $\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S}$ is a closed operator. For the first inclusion, fix $g \in C^1(S)$. Pick an extension $\tilde{g} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ of g and define the sequence $(u_k)_k \subset C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ by $u_k := (1 - P_k)\tilde{g}$. By a combination of (0.4) and (0.5), we have $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{dom}(\text{tr}_{\partial\Omega \setminus S})$, or equivalently, $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ and $u_k|_{\partial\Omega \setminus S} \rightarrow 0 = g|_{\partial\Omega \setminus S}$ in $L_2(\partial\Omega \setminus S)$. Since $u_k|_S = g$ for every k , it follows that $g \in \text{tr } 0$.

Solution of 12.4(c).

Proof. Recall that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator is defined by

$$D_0 = \{(g, h) \in L_2(\partial\Omega) \times L_2(\partial\Omega); \exists u \in H^1(\Omega) : \Delta u = 0, g \in \text{tr } u, h = \partial_\nu u\};$$

see Theorem 12.12 (which in particular says that it is an operator). Let $g \in L_2(S) \stackrel{(b)}{=} \text{tr } 0$. Then $u = 0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfies $\Delta u = 0$, $g \in \text{tr } u$ and $u = \partial_\nu u$, whence $(g, 0) \in D_0$. \square