

Lecture 2, Solutions

Voronezh Team

Vladimir Didenko, Dmitrii Poliakov, Anna Ryzhkova,
Irina Trishina, Egor Dikarev

Exercise 2.1. Show the second equality (2.2).

Proof. We need proof the following equality:

$$(-1)^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt. \quad (1)$$

We will use the method of mathematical induction. For $n = 1$ the equality (1) is trivial.

Let the equality

$$(-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^{n-2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt$$

holds. Then we will prove (1). We get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left((-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right)^{n-2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \right) = -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 0$ we have $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-th} - 1}{h} = -t$, where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$, since

$$\left| \frac{1}{h}(e^{-th} - 1) + t \right| \leq t^2 |h| e^{|h|t}.$$

Thus, for $|h| < \operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega$ the following equality holds

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \frac{1}{h} (e^{-ht} - 1) e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{h} (e^{-ht} - 1) e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt,$$

where the convergence is uniform (since $t \mapsto t^2 e^{(|h| + \omega - \operatorname{Re} \lambda)t}$ is integrable).

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-(\lambda+h)t} T(t) dt - \int_0^\infty t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^N \frac{1}{h} (e^{-ht} - 1) t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (e^{-ht} - 1) t^{n-2} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N t^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-\lambda t} T(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have been obtained (1).

Exercise 2.2. Let T be a C_0 -semigroup on the Banach space X , with generator A . Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Show that

$$T_\lambda(t) := e^{-\lambda t} T(t), \quad (t \geq 0),$$

defines a C_0 -semigroup (the rescaled semigroup), and that the generator of T_λ is given by $A - \lambda I$.

Proof. First of all, we will prove properties of C_0 -semigroup for T_λ . For $t, s \geq 0$ we have

$$T_\lambda(t+s) = e^{-\lambda(t+s)} T(t+s) = (e^{-\lambda t} T(t))(e^{-\lambda s} T(s)) = T_\lambda(t) T_\lambda(s).$$

If $t = 0$, then

$$T_\lambda(0) = e^{-\lambda \cdot 0} T(0) = I.$$

For all $x \in X$ we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} T_\lambda(t)x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} T(t)x = x.$$

Therefore, T_λ is C_0 -semigroup.

Let B is generator of T_λ . Suppose that $x \in D(A)$. By using definition of generator semigroup (see section 1.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{T_\lambda(h)x - x}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-\lambda h} T(h)x - x}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-\lambda h} T(h)x - e^{-\lambda h} x + e^{-\lambda h} x - x}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda h} (T(h)x - x)}{h} + \frac{(e^{-\lambda h} - 1)x}{h} \right) = Ax - \lambda x,
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $x \in D(B)$ and that $Bx = Ax - \lambda x$. Thus, $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and since $T(t) = e^{\lambda t} T_\lambda(t)$ the same method applies to prove that $D(B) \subset D(A)$. Hence, $D(A) = D(B)$ and $B = A - \lambda I$ is generator of T_λ .

Exercise 2.3. Let T be a bounded C_0 -semigroup on the Banach space X , $M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)\|$, and let A its generator.

(a) Show that

$$\| \|x\| \| := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\| \quad (x \in X)$$

defines a norm $\| \| \cdot \| \|$ on X which is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|$, and that T is a C_0 -semigroup of contractions on $(X, \| \| \cdot \| \|)$.

(b) For any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n > 0$, show that

$$\|(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}\| \leq M.$$

Proof. (a) Obviously, the first and second norm properties hold. For $x, y \in X$ we consider the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \|x + y\| \| &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)(x + y)\| = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x + T(t)y\| \\
&\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\| + \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)y\| = \| \|x\| \| + \| \|y\| \|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $||| \cdot |||$ is norm.

Now we prove the equivalence of the norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $||| \cdot |||$, i.e.

$$m_1 \|x\| \leq |||x||| \leq m_2 \|x\|, \quad x \in X,$$

where m_1, m_2 are constants.

Indeed, for $x \in X$ we consider the following inequality

$$\|x\| = \|T(0)x\| \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)x\| = |||x||| \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)|||x||| \leq M \|x\|, \quad (2)$$

with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = M$. Thus, norms are equivalent.

Since norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $||| \cdot |||$ are equivalent, then all properties C_0 -semigroup hold. Thus, T is C_0 -semigroup on $(X, ||| \cdot |||)$.

Prove that T is semigroup of contractions. For all $x \in X$ we have

$$|||T(t)x||| = \sup_{s \geq 0} \|T(s)T(t)x\| = \sup_{s \geq 0} \|T(s+t)x\| \leq \sup_{s \geq 0} \|T(s)x\| = |||x|||.$$

Hence,

$$|||T(t)||| \leq 1.$$

Thus, T is semigroup of contractions.

(b) Using part (a) we have $|||T(t)||| < 1$. Now we consider the operator $(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1}$. From Theorem 2.7 we obtain

$$|||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1}||| = |||\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} I - A \right)^{-1}||| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_1} t} |||T(t)||| dt \leq 1.$$

Analogical we have the same estimate for every operators $(I - \alpha_2 A)^{-1}, \dots, (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}$. Thus,

$$|||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}||| \leq |||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1}||| \dots |||(I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}||| \leq 1.$$

Since the norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $||| \cdot |||$ are equivalent, then for $x \in X$ the following inequalities hold (see (2))

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}x\| &\leq |||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}x||| \\ &\leq |||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}||| \cdot |||x||| \leq |||x||| \leq M \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we showed that $|||(I - \alpha_1 A)^{-1} \dots (I - \alpha_n A)^{-1}||| \leq M$.

Exercise 2.4. Show the Hille-Yosida theorem for general case:

Let A be a closed, densely defined operator in the Banach space X . Assume that there exists $M \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(w, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$\|R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - w)^n}, \quad (\lambda \in (w, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then A is generator of a C_0 -semigroup T satisfying the estimate

$$\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{wt} \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Proof. Note that without loss of generality we can only treat case $w = 0$. In this case we have the following estimate

$$\|\lambda^n R(\lambda, A)^n\| \leq M.$$

Define Yosida approximations

$$A_n := A\left(I - \frac{1}{n}A\right)^{-1} = nAR(n, A) = n^2R(n, A) - nI \in \mathcal{L}(X) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now let obtain estimates for norm of exponent $\|e^{tA_n}\|$ for any $t > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tA_n}\| &= \|e^{t(n^2R(n, A) - nI)}\| = e^{-tn} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tn^2R(n, A))^k}{k!} \right\| \\ &\leq e^{-tn} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tn)^k}{k!} \|n^k R(n, A)^k\| \leq e^{-tn} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tn)^k}{k!} M = Me^{-tn} e^{tn} = M. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For $x \in X$, $t > 0$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} e^{tA_m} - e^{tA_n} &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} (e^{(t-s)A_n} e^{sA_m} x) ds = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} (A_m - A_n) e^{sA_m} x ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} e^{sA_m} (A_m - A_n) x ds, \end{aligned}$$

(where in the last equality we have used that A_m, A_n as well as the generated semigroups commute). Recalling (3) we obtain the estimate

$$\|e^{tA_m} x - e^{tA_n} x\| \leq M^2 t \|(A_m - A_n)x\|. \quad (4)$$

Let $c > 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the operator $\mathcal{T}_n^c : X \rightarrow C([0, c], X)$ (where $C([0, c], X)$ denotes the Banach space of continuous X -valued functions, equipped with the supremum norm) by

$$\mathcal{T}_n^c x := [t \mapsto e^{tA_n} x] \quad (x \in X).$$

The proof of estimate (3) shows that $\|\mathcal{T}_n^c\| \leq M$ and inequality (4) shows that

$$\|\mathcal{T}_m^c x - \mathcal{T}_n^c x\| \leq cM^2 \|A_m x - A_n x\| \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

for all $x \in \text{dom}(A)$, which implies that $(\mathcal{T}_n^c x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, because $(A_n x)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to Ax . Applying proposition 1.6 we conclude that there exists $\mathcal{T}^c \in \mathcal{L}(X, C([0, c]; X))$ such that $\mathcal{T}_n^c \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^c$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) strongly.

Clearly, if $0 < c < c'$, then $\mathcal{T}^{c'} x|_{[0, c]} = \mathcal{T}^c$ for all $x \in X$, and therefore we can define $T : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ by

$$T(t)x := \mathcal{T}^c x(t) \quad (0 \leq t < c, x \in X).$$

From $T(\cdot)x|_{[0, c]} = \mathcal{T}^c x$ ($c > 0, x \in X$) we infer that T is strongly continuous. Since $T(t) = s - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{tA_n}$ ($t \geq 0$), the semigroup property carries over from the semigroups

$(e^{tA_n})_{t \geq 0}$ to T . As a result T is a C_0 semigroup with estimation for norm $\|T(t)\| \leq M$ for all $t > 0$.

The last part of proof showing that A is a generator of T is exactly the same as part (iii) of theorem 2.9 proof so we skip it.

Exercise 2.5. Let T be a C_0 -semigroup on the Banach space X . For $h > 0$ we define $A_h := h^{-1}(T(h) - I)$. Show that $e^{tA_h} \rightarrow T(t)x$ for all $x \in X$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for t in compact set of $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let K is arbitrary compact set of $[0, \infty)$. Then exists such $c > 0$ that $K \subset [0, c]$. So it is enough to show that $e^{tA_h} \rightarrow T(t)x$ for all $x \in X$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for t in $[0, c]$.

Let $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{wt}$, where $M > 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$. First we show that for all $h \in (0, 1)$ there exists $w' > w$ such that exponent e^{tA_h} can be estimated by

$$\|e^{tA_h}\| \leq Me^{w't} \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (5)$$

For e^{tA_h} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tA_h}\| &= e^{-th} \|e^{\frac{tT(h)}{h}}\| = e^{-th} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{tT(h)}{h}\right)^k \right\| \leq e^{-th} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^k \|T(kh)\| \\ &\leq e^{-th} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^k Me^{wkh} = Me^{-th} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left(e^{wh} \frac{t}{h}\right)^k = M \exp\left(\frac{t}{h}(e^{wh} - 1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $h^{-1}(e^{wh} - 1) \rightarrow w$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ then exists $w' > w$:

$$|h^{-1}(e^{wh} - 1)| \leq w' \quad (h \in (0, 1)).$$

Hence e^{tA_h} can be estimated by (5) when $h \in (0, 1)$.

For any $x \in \text{dom}(A)$ we can define function $[0, t] \ni s \rightarrow e^{sA_h}T(t-s)x$ that is continuous as well as continuously differentiable on $(0, t)$, with

$$\frac{d}{ds} e^{sA_h}(T(t-s)x) = A_h e^{sA_h}T(t-s)x - e^{sA_h}T(t-s)Ax = e^{sA_h}T(t-s)(A_h - A)x.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus (see Theorem 1.9) it follows that

$$\|(T(t) - e^{tA_h})x\| = \left\| \int_0^t e^{sA_h}T(t-s)(A_h - A)x ds \right\|.$$

Using estimation (5) we get the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T(t) - e^{tA_h})x\|_c &\leq M^2 \max\{1, e^{wt}\} \max\{1, e^{w't}\} t \|(A_h - A)x\|_c \\ &\leq M^2 \max\{1, e^{wc}\} \max\{1, e^{w'c}\} c \|(A_h - A)x\|_c \quad (t, h \in [0, c]). \end{aligned}$$

From last inequality we obtain that $e^{tA_h}x \rightarrow T(t)x$ for all $x \in \text{dom}(A)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, uniformly for t in compact set of $[0, \infty)$. Using that $\text{dom}(A)$ is dense and applying Proposition 1.6 we obtain that $e^{tA_h}x \rightarrow T(t)x$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for t in compact set of $[0, \infty)$ for all $x \in X$.