

Internet Seminar 18 · Lecture 1 · Solutions
Wuppertal Team

Solution of Exercise 1 (Julia Kleinhans). Let X be a Banach space and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^j}{j!}$ is absolutely convergent in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{A^j}{j!} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|A\|^j}{j!} = e^{\|A\|}$$

holds. Suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ commute. To verify the identity

$$e^A e^B = e^{A+B} = e^B e^A$$

we use the Cauchy product for absolute convergent sums:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} \right).$$

Therefore we have, using that $AB = BA$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^A e^B &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^j}{j!} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^j}{j!} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^j \frac{A^n}{n!} \frac{B^{j-n}}{(j-n)!} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^j \binom{j}{n} A^n B^{j-n} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} (A+B)^j = e^{A+B}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it follows that, $t \mapsto e^{tA}$ is a one-parameter group. Indeed, $e^{(t+s)A} = e^{tA} e^{sA} \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, because $tA \cdot sA = tsA^2 = sA \cdot tA$ and we can apply the previous result.

Next we show that the one-parameter group is continuously differentiable and that $\frac{d}{dt} e^{tA} = A e^{tA}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$): Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed and set $c := \|e^{tA}\|$. If $|h| > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{e^{(t+h)A} - e^{tA}}{h} - A e^{tA} \right\| &= \left\| \frac{e^{tA} e^{hA} - e^{tA}}{h} - A e^{tA} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{e^{hA} - I}{h} - A \right\| \|e^{tA}\| \\ &= c \left\| \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^j A^j}{j!} - I \right) - A \right\| = c \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^{j-1} A^j}{j!} - A \right\| \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{h^{j-1} \|A\|^j}{j!} = c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{j+1} \|A\|^{j+2}}{(j+2)!} \leq c \cdot h \|A\|^2 \cdot e^{h\|A\|} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solution of Exercise 2 (Robert Nabiullin, Jens Wintermayr). Let us accept that the set $UC_b(G)$ of all bounded uniformly continuous \mathbb{K} -valued functions on G is a Banach space with the sup-norm and with the pointwise operations.

(a) We show that $C_0(G)$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $UC_b(G)$. We have $C_0(G) \subseteq UC_b(G)$. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in C_0(G)$ be given. Then $K = \{|f| \geq \varepsilon/2\}$ is compact. Since f is continuous on the compact set K , we can cover K by finitely many balls $B(x_1, r_1), \dots, B(x_N, r_N)$ such that $y \in B(x_j, r_j)$ implies $|f(x_j) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon/2$. Let $\delta = \min\{r_1/2, \dots, r_N/2\}$. If $|x - y| < \delta$, then either both of x, y lie outside the finitely many balls, or both of them belongs to the same ball $B(x_j, r_j)$ for some j . In the first case $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ by the choice of the compact set K ; in the second case $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x) - f(x_j)| + |f(x_j) - f(y)| \leq 2\varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$ by the choice of $\delta > 0$.

We have $0 \in C_0(G)$: For all $c > 0$ the set $[0 \geq c] = \emptyset$ is compact. Now let $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $f, g \in C_0(G)$. We have to show that $\alpha f \in C_0(G)$ and $f + g \in C_0(G)$ holds. If $\alpha = 0$, then $\alpha f = 0 \in C_0(G)$ as seen above. Suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. In this case $[|\alpha f| \geq c] = [|f| \geq c/|\alpha|]$, and the latter set is compact by assumption. For any continuous function h on G the set $[h \geq c] = h^{-1}([c, \infty))$ is closed. Now we have

$$[|f + g| \geq c] \subseteq [|f| + |g| \geq c] \subseteq \left[|f| \geq \frac{c}{2} \right] \cup \left[|g| \geq \frac{c}{2} \right]$$

implying that the set $\{|f + g| \geq c\}$, as a closed subset of a compact set, is itself compact. This shows that $C_0(G)$ is a linear subspace of the vector space $\text{UC}_b(G)$.

Let (f_n) be a sequence in $C_0(G)$ which converges to some $f \in \text{UC}_b(G)$ with respect to supremum norm. For each $c > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f - f_n\|_\infty \leq c/2$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence we have for any $n \geq N$

$$\{|f| \geq c\} \subseteq \{|f - f_n| + |f_n| \geq c\} \subseteq \{|f_n| \geq c/2\}.$$

The last set is compact by assumption and hence the set $\{|f| \geq c\}$ is compact as a closed subset of a compact set, and so $f \in C_0(G)$.

We now show that $C_c(G)$ is a dense subspace of $C_0(G)$. Let $f \in C_0(G)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the set $\{|f| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is compact by Urysohn's lemma there is a continuous function $h: G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $h(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \{|f| \geq \varepsilon\}$ and $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \{|f| \leq \varepsilon/2\}$. We define $g := hf$. Then g is a continuous function with compact support, since $\text{spt } g \subset \{|f| \geq \varepsilon/2\}$. Further we have $\|g - f\|_\infty = \|fh - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

(b) Let T be the one-parameter semigroup of right translations on $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ with generator \tilde{A} . We also define

$$Af = -f' \quad \text{with} \quad \text{dom}(A) = C_0^1(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}); f, f' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We have to show $A = \tilde{A}$.

Since uniform convergence implies pointwise convergence, for each $f \in \text{dom}(\tilde{A})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\tilde{A}f(x) = \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{(T(h)f)(x) - f(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{f(x-h) - f(x)}{h} = - \lim_{h \searrow 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h} = -f'(x).$$

(Only the right differentiability of f at x follows this way, but one can use Theorem 1.10 and the fact that $T(t)$ are invertible to obtain that f is indeed differentiable at x .)

Let $f \in \text{dom}(A)$, then f is differentiable, $f' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and from part (a) we know that f' is uniformly continuous. For $\varepsilon > 0$ take $\delta > 0$ according to the uniform continuity of f' . For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(T(h)f)(x) - f(x)}{h} - Af(x) \right| &= \left| \frac{f(x-h) - f(x)}{h} - (-f'(x)) \right| \\ &= \left| f'(x) - \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x f'(y) dy - f'(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x |f'(y) - f'(x)| dy \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

whenever $h \in (0, \delta)$. This shows $f \in \text{dom}(\tilde{A})$ and $\tilde{A}f = -f' = Af$ for all $f \in \text{dom}(A)$. We obtain $\tilde{A} = A$.

(c) Let \tilde{A} denote the generator of the right shift semigroup, and A the operator from the assertion. We carry out a similar calculation as above. Let $f \in \text{dom}(A)$, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and take $\delta > 0$ such that $|f'(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in (0, \delta)$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x, y \in (0, 1]$ with $|x - y| \leq \delta$ (uniform continuity). We have

$$\left| \frac{(T(h)f)(x) - f(x)}{h} - Af(x) \right| = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x f'(y) dy - f'(x) \right| & \text{if } x \in [h, 1], \\ \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_0^x f'(y) dy + f'(x) \right| & \text{if } x \in (0, h). \end{cases}$$

From this we conclude for $h \in (0, \delta)$

$$\left| \frac{(T(h)f)(x) - f(x)}{h} - Af(x) \right| = \begin{cases} \varepsilon & \text{if } x \in [h, 1] \text{ (similarly as in part (b))}, \\ 2\varepsilon & \text{if } x \in (0, h). \end{cases}$$

This yields $f \in \text{dom}(\tilde{A})$. Hence $A \subseteq \tilde{A}$. The inclusion $\tilde{A} \subseteq A$ can be proved similarly to part (b).

Solution of Exercise 3 (Björn Augner, Hafida Laasri). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space and let $p \in [1, \infty)$. Let $a: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a measurable function and suppose that $\text{Re } a(x) \leq 0$ ($x \in \Omega$).

For $t \geq 0$ define $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mu))$ by

$$T(t)f := e^{ta}f \quad (f \in L_p(\mu)).$$

(a) **Claim:** T is a C_0 -semigroup on $L_p(\mu)$.

Proof: 1) For all $t \geq 0$ and $f \in L_p(\mu)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)f\|_{L_p(\mu)} &= \|e^{ta}f\|_{L_p(\mu)} = \| |e^{ta}| f \|_{L_p(\mu)} \\ &= \|e^{t\operatorname{Re}a}f\|_{L_p(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L_p(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(L_p(\mu))$.

2) For all $s, t \geq 0$ and $f \in L_p(\mu)$ the semigroup property holds:

$$T(t+s)f = e^{(t+s)a}f = e^{ta}e^{sa}f = T(t)T(s)f.$$

3) To obtain strong continuity of T at $t = 0$ first note that for all $t \geq 0$ and $f \in L_p(\mu)$

$$|T(t)f(x)| = e^{t\operatorname{Re}a(x)} |f(x)| \leq |f(x)|, \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

and $|f| \in L_p(\mu)$. Moreover for all $f \in L_p(\mu)$ and μ -a.e. $x \in \Omega$ one has

$$(T(t)f - f)(x) = \left(e^{ta(x)} - 1 \right) f(x) \xrightarrow{t \searrow 0} 0.$$

Lebesgue's theorem yields that

$$T(t)f - f \xrightarrow{t \searrow 0} 0 \quad \text{in } L_p(\mu).$$

As a result T is a C_0 -semigroup on $L_p(\mu)$.

(b) **Claim:** The maximal multiplication operator M_a defined by

$$M_a = \{(f, g) \in L_p(\mu) \times L_p(\mu); g = af\}$$

is closed and densely defined.

Proof: From the definition it immediately follows that M_a is a linear operator.

1) To see that $\operatorname{dom}(M_a)$ is dense in $L_p(\mu)$ fix $f \in L_p(\mu)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\Omega_k := \{x \in \Omega : |a(x)| \leq k\} \in \mathcal{A}$$

(since a is measurable), so that $\Omega_k \nearrow \Omega$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Set $f_k := 1_{\Omega_k} f$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\|af_k\|_{L_p(\mu)} \leq k \|f\|_{L_p(\mu)} < +\infty,$$

hence $(f_k, af_k) \in M_a$. Moreover

$$\|f - f_k\|_{L_p(\mu)}^p = \int_{\Omega_k^c} |f|^p d\mu \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

since $f \in L_p(\mu)$ and so M_a is densely defined.

2) To see that $M_a \subset L_p(\mu) \times L_p(\mu)$ is closed let $(f_n, g_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset M_a$ such that $(f_n, g_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (f, g) \in L_p(\mu) \times L_p(\mu)$. Since $p \in [1, \infty)$ there is a subsequence such that $(f_{n_k}, g_{n_k}) \rightarrow (f, g)$ pointwise μ -a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see, e.g. Theorem 4.9 in [1]). Then for μ -a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$g(x) \leftarrow g_{n_k}(x) = a(x)f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow a(x)f(x) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

and hence $af = g$, so that $(f, g) \in M_a$ and M_a is closed.

(c) **Claim:** M_a is the generator of T .

Proof: Let A be the generator of T . If $(f, g) \in A$, then, e.g. by Theorem 4.9 in [1], there is a sequence $t_n \searrow 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{t_n} (T(t_n)f - f)(x) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x) \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

and since we also have μ -a.e. pointwise convergence to af (since $\frac{d}{dt}e^{ta(x)}|_{t=0} = a(x)$) it follows $af = g \in L_p(\mu)$, i.e. $(f, g) \in M_a$, so that $A \subset M_a$.

On the other hand, for every $(f, g) \in M_a$ one has

$$\frac{1}{t}(T(t)f - f) = \frac{1}{t}(e^{ta} - 1)f \xrightarrow{t \searrow 0} af = g$$

pointwise μ -a.e. Moreover, for all $x \in \Omega$ with $a(x) \neq 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{ta(x)} - 1}{ta(x)} \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t e^{sa(x)} ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |e^{sa(x)}| ds \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left| \frac{1}{t}(T(t)f - f)(x) \right| \leq |a(x)f(x)|, \quad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

so by Lebesgue's theorem the convergence also holds in $L_p(\mu)$.

References

- [1] H. Brezis: *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*. Springer, New York, 2011.

Solution of Exercise 4 (Sebastian Möller).

(a) Because f is continuous we know that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \|f(s) - f(0)\| < \varepsilon$$

for $|s| < \delta$. So we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(s) ds - f(0) \right\| = \frac{1}{h} \left\| \int_0^h f(s) - f(0) ds \right\| \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \varepsilon ds = \varepsilon$$

for $|h| < \delta$.

(b) With part (a) it follows that

$$\left\| I - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau T(s) ds \right\| < 1$$

for $\tau > 0$ sufficient small.

So the Neumann-series implies that

$$I - \left(I - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau T(s) ds \right) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau T(s) ds$$

and consequently

$$\int_0^\tau T(s) ds$$

is invertible.

Using Theorem 1.10.(b) we know that

$$A \int_0^\tau T(s) ds = T(\tau) - I.$$

Because $\int_0^\tau T(s)ds$ is invertible we can write A as the bounded operator

$$(T(\tau) - I) \left(\int_0^\tau T(s)ds \right)^{-1} .$$